

X442-17622 2 July. Helms & Rankin. Report details of alleged contact with CBO in his office by Dr. Conrad. Panel letters: X442-17622. Since opinion that both may have thought he spoke to Hoffbauer but really to that of CBO, there formed him out. ENR. This would have been Mr. LITMUS.

X442-22941 7 3 July 1964. Rankin & Helms requesting translation of Spanish doc. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also include FBI report of 28 June advising a signed statement by Edwin Benson.

X442-27232 7 3 July 1964. Letter from Rankin, trans-mitting State Dept. memo on question re Soviet visa procedures, esp. as applicable to Soviet union.

✓ X442-27221 9 July 1964. MOA by Mr. Helms: Commission memo to David Starn for question of Soviet policy towards Russian wives of Americans & visa procedures. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?), Our reply had expressed to Spence leaving with husband, State had doubt with larger question of immig. departures.

X442-22812 17 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relative to CIA dispatch of 11 Oct. 1963 on case of the wife in report. Starn said that the Commission would not reproduce at text but refer to substance. Earlier discussions on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall.)

X442-17623 17 22 July 1964. Helms & Rankin, trans-mitting 2 doc. translation. Requested by Rankin in letter 2 3 July.

✓ X442-27209 2 10 August 1964: MOA by Starn & Helms on 27 July talks with AWD, Rankin & Starn on defector info handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "Use of Rosenberg info in Warren Comm. Report" - AWD, Rankin, Starn, Helms, Murphy, Douglas. Report formed agreement to report

✓✓ Add to:

XAAZ-22425 of 24 Aug 64: Seriously a whom  
we had in town walked in, told a story about  
having been LHO in early 1960, alleged that Mrs.  
Oswald was KGB. as well as he. An Am. Int. office  
was present. I slipped in when one of two gets  
unrecorded. Told a rambling, disconnected  
story but his extreme and delusional behavior  
a mental problem, stresses he claims to have  
suffered brain damage or coma. I really left  
treatment. Born 21 July 1935, lived near Cozy.  
Failed medical exam. for FBI Off. Institute.  
Claims had been pressed & brain worked by  
KGB. in March 1960 after which he met LHO.  
April & May. Head pains not apparent in  
own notes. Alcohol & refused oxygen. Based  
on Dr. But. strengthening after this episode.  
He acknowledges KGB prob. knew he was in  
the field & his progress. He walked out of  
post both unit & again.

27 started come in 24 Aug 64.  
Was this info passed to intelligence? Did it not  
wind up on 24 Aug?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 July 64: "Apparent communication  
in material furnished the Community by Coss on the  
2nd of July. Helms & Rankin, further & letter by  
Rankin & Helms 3 July 64. Report to follow between  
✓ [Shannon & Wagon 9 July 64] regarding questions re  
Gov. esty's departure from USSR. Stipulate our  
only work based on summer of 64 case, including 10 American

XAAZ-22725 contd. : This <sup>must</sup> ~~is~~ <sup>be</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~Sergeant~~ <sup>Chief</sup> ~~and~~

non-inclusion of N. info. Review SB concept of  
H from field problem. Draft of a general  
reference to defects info ~~should~~ <sup>is</sup> not and  
attached

- (2) • NA: one of these papers refers to a British Lab.  
worker who had mentioned LHO. ~~See the letter~~

XAAZ-22773 of 24 Aug 1964: Request from Warren  
Comm. (Kashin & Helms) for permission to  
publish list 2 docs. as author. in "Brook  
Foreign Language" section of its report.  
Wishes for a letter approval.

XAAZ-22855 of 27 Aug 1964. MRR by Hull & Sharkey  
on Pres. Fr. Com. Section 2 Report & letter quote  
of selected para & wording

XAAZ-22429 of 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Konstantin ~~letter~~  
24. ~~Sergeant~~ <sup>language</sup>, ~~working~~ <sup>working</sup> & ~~great~~ <sup>great</sup> ~~country~~ <sup>country</sup>, Moscow [29 July 1964].  
<sup>See additional notes</sup>

XAAZ-22800 of 31 Aug 64. Helms & Kashin: Extract  
from memo of work title date 13 Feb 1964  
sent to Comm.

XAAZ-22774 of 1 Sept 1964: Kashin & Helms. Request  
- handwritten ~~analysis~~ <sup>analysis</sup> of article on LHO ~~from~~  
via application, ~~sent~~ <sup>sent</sup> from Cuban govt. State  
translations. ~~Under~~ <sup>Under</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~case~~ <sup>case</sup>.

XAAZ-22775 of 3 Sept 1964: Recommendation, ~~for~~  
by copy. to Kashin

XAAZ-22939 of 10 Sept 1964: checking out of State  
translations of LHO application May. for info and reply  
by Nov 15 Oct, plus Cuban comms comment

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Rankin to Helms, re  
memorandum to public agency memo. 2 July 1964. re  
statement made in an affidavit in the Court transcript  
in House C.S. re a sub. memo containing info.  
by some info

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Helms memo on dis-  
crepancy in Cuban govt reporting on LHC's passport  
no. First color correctly is Doc. passed through  
Miami soon DO92526. Then later ledger copy  
show it as DO92526. [This found to be mis-  
reading. Under stress & amended as 0]  
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Rankin  
re Rankin A240E, re Cuban coast.  
Loring

[NB: Arthur Darby interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr.  
8 April 1971 re Unknown Man in Oswald Case]

XAAZ-22755 of 21 Sept 1964. Rankin to Helms for  
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in  
Minsk. A.H. Rankin Encls. Ex. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Review session of info.  
provided in memorandum 31 Jan & 1 June 1964 re  
slain. info relative to intell. methods & org. Request  
date of 4 June 1967 received

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Arthur Darby on  
results of visit to Commission & various FBI reports  
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were targetable  
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 7/15 Dec 1964 : re Allegation of unid.

accusation of Cuban involvement in Las Vegas  
assass. held up by the Cuban plane flight  
afternoon of 12 Nov. Source, semi deceased, lived  
and sup from a well known Cuban secret  
agcy. on 23 Nov. 63 but had forgotten to report.  
Plane arrived 1700 hrs. from Dallas via  
Tijuana and Mexico City. Two gangsters to be alerted.

[FBI rejected the account because of notes and  
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA  
9434) of that date.]

XAAZ-23580 7 Oct 1965: Letter from Katzembach to  
ask concerning working of security controls  
on CIA correp. forwarded to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 10 Sept 1965: OLC's reply to the  
security controls on CIA correp. . It details  
background and notes how agreement  
reached on each item. State that in no instance  
was an exec. order held from public domain  
if it had a direct bearing on the organization  
(Reply prepared by Chasby -

CSCI-316/01398 17 8 April 1965 1 forward copy of an  
assass. letter to Sw. Exec. referring to LHO.  
Named: Um. R. DOBKINS.

↑  
This man was investigated by  
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XOA2 - 27167 of 22 June 1966.

Also chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Roubin to Mr. Miller, 64 AWD, Roubin, IT.

12 March (Mr. Roubin) '68

27 March '64 - Mr. Wilkin, Mr. Stone at all (from  
briefing on case handling, working closely  
A.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Lofth (Mr. De Mohrenschildt)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stinson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Golding)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting group.)

Mr. Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above), 28 Jan  
(at Roubin's office), 12 March (Mr. Roubin's office  
with Murphy, RBA, 27 March 14:30 (see above)

24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Roubin,  
Stinson, Helms, Murphy, Gayley)

AB: Also named, p. 13 as one of the liaison officers  
between Commission and East agencies, in Jay  
Epstein's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5877 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA brief

the Commission's criticism of Warren Report (where  
discom is already noted). This brings down  
general conclusions out: (2) no signif. new evidence  
has emerged. (3) Critics follow selective criticism  
in details & quotations (4) conspiracy impossible in  
this case by nature of circumstances. (5) Oswald as a  
loner (6) Report not a work job. (7) The deaths  
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.  
Commission's queried 448 witnesses, the FBI queried  
25,000.)

DBB-79714 of 28 Apr. 67: case of Emmanuel Guedes  
Gonzalez. Mentions patient NYC, arrested by FBI  
19 Nov 1963 confessed to be member of team of  
assassins sent to kill Kennedy. FBI says he lives  
now arrested nor interesting. (See further  
notes 24 Feb 1967 in El Tiempo (NY) which claims  
Carter had sent 1 team to US to kill JFK.

X A42-27214 of 2 NOV 1967. Comparison of  
 Oswald case with other American defections  
to USSR and mentions relating to H-defects  
and their work (14 p)

HMMT-10150 27 Feb 1970. Mexico City file on case

DST-18668 of 28 Aug 1969. Found State Department

2 letters and a memo. doc. by a State employee  
Charles William Thomas in connection of Cuban  
reps. in conspiracy. (See Warren Commission Report  
pp. 299-310, 68-59, 730-56). Copies sent by State  
also to FBI & Sec. State. Thomas told orig. memo  
of conv. with Mrs. Pray (nee Gans) on 10 Dec  
1965. Elmer Gans m. to Octavia Pray. She describes  
as Mercant.

↑  
This aspect of the case persisted

14-00000  
Dec 5 - 18035 - 30 July 1905. 3 vols. Review vols of  
materials prepared for national circulation re  
release for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence



(201-334252)

@ Marcos

NB: who is defector (1964) AMSTROKE/1?

Relatively untested Jan 1963 on handling subv.  
Contracts. (AM Special 1/2, from SYNOPSIS).

N13: as of 14 Mar 63 "fullest possible coverage" had been reg. by Hqs. on ship individual. In March 63 Hqs. approved being in Mex Tourist Entrance.

Described 21 Nov 1963 (UFA-12559.) as in May  
in change of country via. Return from  
to me & Cuba.

Rodriguez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinate, Regalio) were in contact with two <sup>Mexican</sup> agents of groups led by Oscar Aguilar <sup>primarily</sup> which were plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for training. This confirmed by two sources.

Another DBI officer: Miguel P. 4 1st Lt Natl Police 54-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. chief of DBI in Mexico City, releasing Alfredo MARRAS in that capacity. Identified as chief of DBI then in August 22 June 63.

Alfredo MARRAS Diaz, consul, consul Mexico 2 Sept 63. Held in custody. San Gabriel Soc. Oct 63. Vega ref. Mex 18 April 64 to release Regalio Rodriguez for investigation. Regalio left PC 25 April.

Random on Vega:

AM.	Mex < Har	2 Apr 62
Dep.	Mex > Har	6 Jan 63
Arr	Mex < Har.	13 Feb.
Dep	Har > Har.	13 Apr 63
Arr.	Mex < Har	21 Apr 63
Dep.	Mex > Har	3 Nov 63
Arr.	Mex < Har	16 Apr 64 - as chief. BGR

NB: only with letters turned to Co

NB: This would make him about Mex as of 23 Nov 63. But he was there during LIT 63 stay 27 Sept - 2 Oct

All people going to Cuba. Legat or allegedly must first contact Subject. Har to Har being. Also and sends it to Cuba for more dealing. This means checking procedure normally takes 15 days

Went back to Har from Mex. Nov 63 and was concerned to the Reg. Natl. Int. of DBI as Nicaragua. But also got to Regalio. I left

Verge arrived at New York 22nd. & returned to Albany  
1866. was asked to contribute to the 10th Street

6 Dec. 1954, 14 April 1955. Dyer's blue iris

27 June 1966. Det 11 shows the land from road through  
the Palacencia botanical reserve Det. 11 shows a view  
of the culture on the land.

Vega insect colony 29 May - 14 June 1967

Revised by the Legal Department

Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. L. 2016 L. 250,  
H. 1000. 1000. 1000.



1

0








-----


11







2



*Duplicate*



2. Bold criticisms can be sustained against Mexico's  
and her coercing handing control 27 Sept and 22 Nov:

- US\$ Con. Santa Cruz On Admance R...

tea than ... reason to believe  
we could not have. } Partially with the topic  
was meant: only not without  
which would have been without

- (2) Hqs. disseminated the ~~Blair~~<sup>Blair</sup> info. up to the 8<sup>th</sup> or the 10<sup>th</sup> <sup>date</sup> during the analysis, info based on the phenotype of unidentified individual.
- (3) In its reply to Blair on 11<sup>th</sup>, however Hqs. gave Blair a correct description of Lenny C which was at clear variance with the description of the unidentified individual and should have been sufficient, normally to break any evidential connection between the two facts.
- (4) Kipper's father though asked by Hqs. did not press or secure an identification as follows up on LHO before the arrest of NW. (i.e. locate where he had stayed, what he had done, when he had arrived or when he had left. NB: neither his

the largest audience on the Phil. representation on the Embassy (which was informed by the 10<sup>th</sup> Oct).

(9) Though requested by radio station Hrg. asked the Navy for a photo LHO., but did not permit and secure a photo before the error.

(6) Mexico Station did not match the 1st radio intercept with its earlier intercept (of 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> Sept) until after the 22<sup>nd</sup> Nov. when it did so with alacrity.

(7) Mexico Station did not match its 1st coverage of the unidentified individual with its coverage of the same individual on 4 Oct in front of the Soviet Embassy, nor on 15 Oct. in front of the Cuban Embassy, until after 22<sup>nd</sup> Nov.

(8) Despite the discrepancies in description Mexi Station put the 1st photos into the chain of evidence on 22 Nov. 2200 hours. Ultimately the decision having been made by the Ambassador and the Division Chief (in effect, being faced with a fait accompli. (Thinks satisfied))

(9) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of LHO in Mexico city. The ident. of the unidentified individual - in good faith by CBS - was entrusted and compromised the operations in its long run, though some effort was made to satisfy the requirements of the Commission and the security of the operations.

(10) Two members of Cuban Emb. known to have dealt with LHO: Silvia Amador and Conrado Igore. Both left & replaced on 21 Nov 1951. named.

9. The thrust of Hrg. information after the 22<sup>nd</sup> Nov was against the USSR press. rather than the Cuban. Coverage very early however, turned up at least one suggestive intercept:

(a) The Cuban-Cuban Conversation - after the 22<sup>nd</sup>. Which seems not to have gone to the Commission. Possibly? - this must be checked

- and (b) the <sup>two</sup> Brothers - Ramos Hernandez telephone calls on the — and — ,
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vukobratovic -

4. Major coverage after ~~exam~~ <sup>length</sup> based on technical coverage and intelligence of liaisons. The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Soviet & Cuban aware of the coverage visual & audio before 22nd?

(b) Did Sovs and/or Cubans have penetration in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated leading to show a known degree of contact between UFD <sup>was suggested by</sup> them, the substantive details of the intercepts?

(1) Case of Gileberts Alvarado Vignati - Nicaragua - walking 24 Nov. investigation protested through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silva Aron. (Can he be trusted? In day 1967 -> statements its confid. source he trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Chile Carrasco de los details -) S. Aron was not subjected to a thorough checking examination based on what we know or could surmise from its intercepts.

(3) Glorio Rojas Villanueva case (in Guatemala & Central). [This appears to have been submitted.]

(H) Case of Elena Barros de Log. See the audience's report of that night affair, Thomas. This case suggests the possibility that Cuban sources in Externacion were in a position to manipulate and circumscribe the Silver Human and other leaks affecting themselves, including the Alvarez matter: note the extraordinary point their two attacks have in common — the presence of a red-headed negro. (According to Alvarez in the Cuban compound, according to Elena Barros, in attendance at Cuban Bureau's party.)

(5) Observations by Eliza Catlett to Stone (belonging to) who had accompanied Theodore Lee on his Carbon trip for FFFC org. December - 24 Jan 1943  
Lee's relationship to FFFC activity is probably under-  
stood and undeniably on its face, unless it  
can be assumed that FFFC and Carbon theo-  
retics were more aware of what he was doing  
than they have acknowledged.

⑥ Case of Excess Contribution, to Am. Assoc. Temp. Teachers in May 1947. Relation to L.H. alleged contact with pro-Comm. Student groups at U.C. David L. was assumed to have been, suspect for some connection.

16. City, Urban

5. acknowledging, and otherwise agreeing to, that, while  
these lists exist, it is not

(d) He denied the statement ~~that~~ <sup>made</sup> that he was going to leave about 1965.

(2) It was then I felt large, important & at home  
in all, swimming in the great sea of life.  
I have to feel that I am a part of the whole  
of the universe & that I am not alone.

10  
Ltr: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Sorensen or Cubans or both, that must be beyond its transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything? that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Emb. Washington after return from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an electric, developmental stage and that his effort to redefect was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1953, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving (and self-determining) "devotion".)

NB: this allows for LHD having said nothing that was self-compromising in his dealings with Soviets and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent anti-Soviet and anti-Cubanists - which he knew the Soviets and Cubans were aware of after the events of 1953, they knew.

6. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of Castro's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Washington Embassy reception? Note that VPI, which could reject claimant of the last statements without factual collateral, its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual like LHD. Therefore Castro must wear a significant, but doubtful weight for what is said about the nature and degree of involvement of platform against

Prison, his alias and JFK is dead, now we are witness  
in act of assassination where it may have been a  
conditioned response by a psychiatric institution for  
"justifiable homicide". Or worse, to you have conducted  
an investigation, over a year or so suggest that  
the assassination was indeed, a political assassination, again,  
in the absence of factual evidence that goes beyond  
what we do know, namely that it was the will  
of JFK and Officer Tippett and that he did it alone.

Ask the same question of the Author's statements to  
his brother F.D. notebook: what signif. Evidentially  
can he attach to what he said? (Excluded the  
remarks given untrained & untrained insight.)

The question of lack of supporting evidential associated  
also requiring that there were two distinct sources  
which come out immediately after the assassination, one  
Soviet (Moscow) and the other Cuban (Havana) which  
through a variety of conversations, testimony, and  
other sources and Cuban intelligence sources, comes  
off the book.

N.B.: In 1964, a very source in the Soviet Union  
told me, "I have a source in the Soviet Union  
who told me the following in the present year, 1964."

1. There is every reason to be unhappy with the work of the  
Commission. In many respects, it is not here to be  
to shift blame on CIA for allegedly not revealing what the  
anti-Castro side were doing, assuming they were. It is to be  
a mirror the policy was it did not do the thing  
but not the language, it is to become directly involved  
in this whole thing, plugging. By not assuming that the  
CIA is the Commission's leadership in 1964, it is not  
the only agency which a threat in the absence of evidence  
concerning the Commission, which were to a degree known  
to the Commission to be of the nature of the Commission.

(Note that after specifically concluding the case was for  
fanning by his reporting, identified it, terrible aspects  
of foreign involvement.)

In part at the working level in C.I. — about the FBI's  
position was or is not known to me — it may be that  
the report did not <sup>even give a hint and</sup> could have left a larger opening  
for further accumulation of evidence relative to  
the pre-war conspiracy aspect. ~~to the~~ Mr. Butler was  
or advised by C.I. staff at the time. In any  
case this is a matter of measure or shading and  
since we are talking here of degrees of culpability,  
since the Report properly read does leave  
the question of ultimate responsibility of C.I. or  
a Singleton Miller open.

What did the Commission Staff fail to formulate  
the questions necessary to cover all aspects of the case and  
to get them investigated — in this instance by the FBI

P. History of which developed above. A.T. investigation  
is possible direct bearing on assessment of the  
pre-war conspiracy theory.

- (a) East. W. defector 1944 — info proved
- (b) Rosenberg — early 1944 — info proved in substance
- (c) Rosenberg — within 100 miles of <sup>1944</sup> 1944  
at Long. 1944. Associated name — 3rd info  
at Berlin 1945. Identical case.

~~Identical case — 3rd info at Berlin 1945. Identical case.~~  
Identical case — 3rd info at Berlin 1945. Identical case.

- Dangerous — <sup>explaining</sup>
- (d) to conclude that there has been progress  
with early defectors, including <sup>explaining</sup> C.I. and  
and vice versa.

9. Helms on record re full disclosure of full  
facts held by Agency in sworn testimony, Wed. May  
6, 1964 (see Vol I: 120-124, and by affidavit  
Exhibits 868 and 869). Also in summary of  
his <sup>1974</sup> 1965 that in no instance was any doc. withheld  
from public domain if it had a direct bearing  
on the assassination.

10. In general the Oswald case file shows a  
minimum input from WFO and no concern  
with the implications of Statement concerning the  
Statement of

Posters on 7 Sept 1963. [Henry: what was needed,  
within all of our highest levels, is that [Oswald's]  
testimony be [Oswald's] in [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
and [Oswald's] and [Oswald's].]

11. [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
and to go [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
get [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]

12. Under the [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]

(a) [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
[Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
[Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
[Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]

(b) The primary [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
[Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
[Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]

(c) See also [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
[Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]

(d) [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]

(e) [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]  
[Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]

See also [Oswald's] [Oswald's] [Oswald's]



contacted him, requested to be, offered to him  
 and to be entered for info and delivery to  
 the FBI. This was possible as to Aug when  
 finally found the founding agent, wearing a  
 sign, wearing name of Hitt on back of FPPC.  
 On 12<sup>th</sup> there was a municipal court session  
 for which Hitt pleaded guilty against 11<sup>th</sup>. On 14<sup>th</sup>  
 in morning out bond which was issued. Hitt  
 sent a friend of 11<sup>th</sup> house on 16<sup>th</sup>. On 21 Aug. there  
 was a radio debate. The other agents of the  
 FBI, but is of little concern to the audience.  
 (is able to talk to British testimony)

FBI is somewhat but more apparently involved  
 in the Hitt prosecution. What attempts

(1) What does one people of the audience testimony,  
 and the other testimony, and the testimony, testimony

(2) What are the indications of H's delayed and later  
 activities in H.O. on behalf of the FPPC? Can  
 one accept the idea he had some guidance or  
 contacts, after he had begun to write & later  
 cons. by Los Angeles from El Toro camp?  
 What does a chronic account of his known  
 int. movements look like starting with the  
filled and printed personal notes?

(3) Report does not note the AP report by Hoshorn on  
 9 Sept 1963 - more was testimony taken on this  
 point through the version that appeared in  
Times Magazine must have been seen by him.  
 BT reflects main line from p. 412 ff.

17. Report does not consider Oswald's sequence of activity as <sup>possibly</sup> operational continuum in which does and contains more involved ~~than~~ their secret services than they can afford to admit. The Commission's report should have left <sup>a wider</sup> opening on this aspect because:

- ② There was very reasonable argument and some facts to conclude surveillance, arrest and handling of LHO ("Red Lion") in the Sov. Union and his Cuban interest included an unresolved contact (Belgrade testimony) which went back to El Toro. And if there were any doubt, Novosel's testimony at KGB file is conclusive.
- ③ The material furnished by the USSR and Cuba (via Soviet) fell far short of anything that had any reasonable influence suggested.

This is not to say LHY was under instruction or 22 V/V.

[illegible]

- ② the Cincinnati anti-slavery reports  
of the court - end 1802

Ricardo L. Pérez

① St. James <sup>St. James</sup> ~~St. James~~ from St. James and down

7 Nov 1983 - Hunt reported after the  
assassinations (1963) that he was known at  
one time as "Albino" and eventually was  
removed from the regime by his government  
who gave a soldierly burial in 1964.

Harvard?  
mixed type  
get it with  
in England -

Notes

O-File - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under  
the subject: OSWALD, Lee Henry. Referred to  
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Roshar 19 Feb 1964 "Inform  
developed by CIA on the activities of Lee Henry  
Oswald in Mexico City, September 27 to Oct 3, 1963,  
para 4, sub 3, are stated:

"<sup>7</sup> From all the evidence on hand we deduce  
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate  
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963  
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.  
There is no evidence that he visited anyone other  
than once on the days mentioned. But we  
cannot exclude these possibilities. The wordy  
in para seven of same report, on page four  
and five, is based on the statement of  
Soviet source and means that Oswald was  
at the Consulate at least once after his  
initial visit, and this, later, visit was on  
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban  
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about  
Oswald's visa problem. This day would  
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be  
certain of this conclusion."

Was Miami reported by the boss of 22 Nov, 24422, that  
HMOAT 53 reported AMBROSIA delegate and radio date  
 sometime in Aug. 63 or 11.6. 1963. Summarizing  
 AMBROSIA file, subject to name, same column 1000 on  
 22 Nov.

Valerij Vlad. Kostikov is identified KGB agentually believing  
to be a 13<sup>th</sup> hgt operator. Being known to have worked  
in matter of missing sabotage. Belief of open invitation  
or potentially non-clandestine matter known to be not the  
same an accepted doctrinal point at least among GML.  
NB: we passed to Hq. unid. (25 Nov) dated in October and  
3 finished papers relating to topic - of these two were  
concerned with Sov case of KGB & known. Ex. but. (Aug 61)  
& the other, Sov Inst. Ex. but. (Oct 61)

Dir 84415 of 23 Nov 63 2206Z distrib. to FBI only  
the gist of 23rd supplementary info reported by Hq. re "North  
Americans on 23<sup>rd</sup> aft. full pub release.

Report from Santiago Club re Nov re Cuba. Last reactions  
indicated unid. reaction that answer was "yes" or  
"no". Extensive report re segregationist to Hq. This  
was change, Pedro Martinez, Hq. re. Since then he stated  
that answer was included in 712C indicating that this  
was only respect to extreme re. that re segregationist  
in to Hq. (This Santiago 6153 IN 6714),  
23 Nov 63)

NB: There was no known recorder on Sov Inst. on 4 Oct.  
(See Hq. 7023 - IN 67108). This cable relates the North Am.  
82 the 28th calls to Oswald identified in Oct 1 Oct, but  
indicating no voice comparison could be done "because  
last time was not public receipt of second call."

It is clear from context that Hq. re sent spec. to  
Hq. re. afternoon 22nd carried 2 sets of six  
photos each reference, to Hq. but. on 1 Oct 7  
at Hq. re. it should be the ...

Here provided full rundown of all probable calls beginning  
 1/27/63 in Adena - including the 3 October call by No. 7033  
 of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252)

Memo  
 records

Adena

29/4

According to C.H. 23 April 1975, the camera  
was down in '27 for some mechanical  
malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw  
worked personally on various & subsequent  
photo trays. Was certain that notes-coverage  
applicable or indicated. Not a matter of  
human malfunctions & loading & unloading/  
either. Should attempt to bring elements of  
recall & consult him on this point. We  
would get in touch.

Perry: Granted above, why did we not  
get it at its proper distribution as it is it?

- 100000
- Culture female - procreant to father 12 months  
work & out of house
  - At morning 1st & 2nd day of the month  
oriented, moon - 1st day of the month  
long other things of the month

from all the about time a week - collecting  
processed & stored for the month  
any for just a few days, would be done,  
at the end of the month  
from the month





1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, ? Sat, Cass 2 tri.)  
Actions to web.
2. Dis 74676 to State, 351 < Mar. 20122
3. Dis 74830 to Mexi, reg. Mex 6453  
22092.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Oct 1993 1640357  
17302. (reg. for plant.)

What is

111 Report to O.K.

Clarence J. Butler - Exe. Director of the  
Disinformation Council of the Americas. New Orleans.  
His testimony not taken from this man

Get (Sons) moved in by 7 for Ted to look at.

✓ Set copy of Carson. Next report for  
the Selin & Howard via channels or  
otherwise.

✓ Call home on non-family of Cuban  
airline.

✓ Call Gordie & tell him about 123.

✓ Get transcription copy of Houston & work -

There is a ...  
...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...

Discussion with H. Phillips 23 April - it was  
Cuban camera that was done. He does  
not object to the use of the photo in any way  
but would prefer freezing the background  
containing photos of John F. Kennedy &  
Philippe Chénier.

- Get the 2nd 1000 ft. of the 1st section.
- Get the 2nd 1000 ft. of the 1st section.

- We must work on the removal of discussion with  
the Commission. It's all on the spot -
- did <sup>the</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>any</sup> ~~any~~ <sup>agreed</sup> ~~agreed~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>discuss</sup> ~~discuss~~ <sup>on</sup> ~~on~~ <sup>an</sup> ~~an~~ <sup>important</sup> ~~important~~  
~~price~~ <sup>price</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~case~~ <sup>case</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~Commission~~ <sup>Commission</sup>

25/4

Miss Green is suffering from toothache & has a violent  
cold, and is in a bad way. I have  
driven to the hospital, but I am  
not sure if I can do anything.